Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

The Urban Planning and Settlement System of the Harappan Civilization in Gujarat

Rahul Raj
Research Scholar, Department of History, Malwanchal University, Indore
Dr. Nanaji Bhamre
Supervisor, Department of History, Malwanchal University, Indore

Abstract
Gujarat preserves one of the densest concentrations of Harappan settlement anywhere in the subcontinent, with more than four hundred recorded sites spanning the arid island terrain of Kutch, the alluvial Bhal plain, and the semi-arid uplands of Saurashtra. This paper examines the urban planning and settlement system of the Harappan Civilization in this region, treating planning not merely as an engineering exercise but as an expression of social organization, administrative control, economic specialization, and ecological adaptation. Drawing on excavated evidence from Dholavira, Lothal, Surkotada, Rangpur, Rojdi, Kuntasi, Nageshwar, Bagasra, and Kanmer, it argues that the Harappans translated a shared urban grammar—grid layout, citadel–lower town division, standardized construction, and integrated drainage—into locally responsive forms. Dholavira's tripartite plan, dressed-stone architecture, and sixteen interconnected reservoirs, and Lothal's dockyard and warehouse complex, mark Gujarat as a distinctive sub-region whose settlement hierarchy, maritime orientation, and water-conservation systems together reveal a sophisticated, ecologically intelligent tradition of regional planning.

Keywords: Harappan urbanism; settlement hierarchy; Dholavira; Lothal; water management; town planning; Gujarat archaeology

1. Introduction

The Harappan Civilization, one of the earliest urban traditions of the ancient world, extended from the Indus and Ghaggar-Hakra plains in the northwest to the coastal and peninsular tracts of Gujarat in the south. Within this expansive network, Gujarat occupies a place of exceptional significance. It hosts one of the largest concentrations of Harappan sites outside the core Indus zone and demonstrates the remarkable adaptability of Harappan urban ideas to varied ecological settings—the arid island terrain of Kutch, the alluvial plains of the Bhal region, the semi-arid uplands of Saurashtra, and the estuarine coastline bordering the Gulfs of Kutch and Khambhat. More than four hundred Harappan-affiliated sites have been recorded across the region, ranging from massive fortified towns to small hamlets and single-purpose extraction camps.

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

This density is not accidental. Gujarat's geography—a peninsula almost surrounded by sea, laced with estuaries, salt marshes, and seasonal rivers, yet also possessing mineral wealth and agricultural potential—offered an unusually rich combination of resources. This paper examines the principles, patterns, and mechanisms of urban planning that characterized these settlements, approaching planning as an expression of social organization, administrative control, economic specialization, and ecological adaptation. It situates Gujarat within the broader understanding of Indus urbanism while highlighting region-specific innovations such as the stone architecture and water-harvesting systems of Dholavira and the dockyard complex of Lothal. Each excavated site is treated not as an isolated case but as one data point within a regional settlement system, allowing patterns observed at well-excavated centres to be tested against fragmentary evidence from smaller sites.

2. Concept and Evolution of Harappan Urban Planning

Urban planning in the Harappan context denotes the conscious layout of towns according to identifiable spatial principles—orientation, zoning, standardized construction, and integrated infrastructure—rather than the organic, unplanned growth typical of many contemporary Bronze Age settlements. Its presence in Gujarat is evidenced by recurring cardinal orientation, the segregation of citadel and lower town, the standardization of brick sizes and weights, and covered drainage beneath planned streets. Planning was not a static blueprint but a continuing administrative practice: at Dholavira, excavators identified as many as seven structural stages spanning roughly 1,200 years, during which the basic tripartite plan was retained even as individual structures were rebuilt, indicating that the underlying spatial concept was treated as a durable civic template maintained across generations.

This urbanism evolved through a long trajectory. During the Early Harappan or Regionalization Era (c. 3300–2600 BCE), village communities in Baluchistan, Sindh, and Gujarat gradually developed craft specialization, exchange networks, and incipient standardization. By the Mature or Integration Era (c. 2600–1900 BCE), these traditions crystallized into the fully planned form recognizable at Dholavira. The subsequent Late Harappan or Localization Era (c. 1900–1300 BCE) witnessed de-urbanization, a shift toward smaller dispersed settlements, and the emergence of regional Sorath and Prabhas Harappan facies, well documented at Rangpur and Rojdi, where mature features such as writing and standardized weights grow rarer while regional pottery and rural subsistence economies gain prominence. Proposed causes for this decline include climatic change affecting the monsoon and seasonal rivers, disruption of long-distance trade following the decline of the Indus cities, and the cumulative environmental pressures of maintaining large fortified settlements in a water-stressed landscape.

The sophistication of this planning has long been regarded as a principal indicator of civilizational complexity, comparable to writing and craft specialization. The replication of planning conventions at geographically separated sites such as Dholavira in Kutch and Lothal in the Bhal region, despite distance and differing local materials, implies a shared ideological

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

and administrative framework. At Dholavira, a large inscribed signboard—apparently once fixed above a citadel gateway—strengthens this reading, indicating that literacy, civic identity, and monumental urban design were mutually reinforcing dimensions of a single administrative culture extending into Gujarat.

3. Settlement Pattern and Hierarchy

Harappan settlements in Gujarat are distributed across three broad ecological zones: the arid island landscape of Kutch, home to Dholavira and Surkotada; the fertile Bhal plains bordering the Gulf of Khambhat, home to Lothal and Rangpur; and the semi-arid Saurashtra plateau, where Rojdi, Kuntasi, Nageshwar, Bagasra, and Kanmer are located. This tripartite distribution reflects a deliberate strategy combining access to agricultural land, freshwater, raw materials—particularly semi-precious stones, shell, and salt—and maritime trade routes. Settlement density is highest within a narrow band close to the coastline and river confluences, tapering toward the marginal uplands, a pattern oriented toward resource access and exchange rather than pure agricultural self-sufficiency. Chronologically, Early Harappan occupation concentrated around Kutch and the Bhal plain, while the Mature phase saw marked expansion into Saurashtra and along the Gulf of Kutch, coinciding with the growth of specialized craft and maritime centres.

The pattern displays a clear hierarchical structure. Dholavira, with its massive fortifications and tripartite division, represents the apex, functioning as a major administrative and ceremonial centre. Lothal, though smaller, combined urban planning with specialized dockyard and warehouse facilities, marking it a critical node in trade and craft distribution. Below these lay medium towns such as Rangpur and Rojdi, which show planned elements on a reduced scale, and a broad base of small rural and single-industry sites such as Nageshwar (shell working) and Padri (salt and pottery). Surkotada, a smaller fortified settlement in Kutch, occupies an intermediate position and may have functioned as a garrison or control point overseeing routes—illustrating that the hierarchy encompassed a military dimension alongside economic and administrative functions. This integrated regional system, connected by overland routes across Kutch and Saurashtra and by riverine and coastal routes, circulated agricultural surplus, craft raw materials, and maritime goods within a single network, while shared ceramic styles, seal forms, and standardized weights confirm sustained contact with the Indus heartland.

4. City Layout and Urban Design

Grid-based street planning, a hallmark of Harappan urbanism, is discernible across Gujarat's major settlements. At Dholavira, streets and lanes intersect at regular intervals, dividing the middle and lower towns into distinct residential blocks; at Lothal, an orthogonal arrangement of streets running roughly north–south and east–west divides the settlement into rectangular blocks accommodating residences, workshops, and storage. While less rigidly geometric than at Mohenjodaro, the underlying principle of organizing space through intersecting perpendicular streets remains consistent. At Dholavira the grid was subtly adjusted to

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

accommodate natural rock outcrops and elevation changes of Khadir island, demonstrating a pragmatic planning approach that balanced orthogonal regularity against local terrain without abandoning its organizing logic. Streets generally followed a cardinal or near-cardinal alignment, likely serving to facilitate ventilation, manage monsoon runoff, and enable efficient movement, while reflecting a shared administrative convention.

Settlements consistently exhibit a division of urban space into functionally distinct zones, separating elevated fortified administrative or elite quarters from lower-lying residential areas, and distinguishing residential zones from craft, storage, and public spaces. At Dholavira this is elaborated into three enclosures—the citadel, the middle town, and the lower town—each separated by substantial stone walls, making it the only Harappan city divided into three parts. The citadel occupies the highest and most strongly fortified portion, comprising the castle and bailey, and was likely the residence of the administrative elite and the location of ceremonial activity. At Lothal, the acropolis housed the warehouse and possibly administrative structures, while the lower town contained residential quarters and the bead-making industry. Even at smaller settlements such as Rangpur and Rojdi, a comparable distinction persists between a higher mound of more substantial construction and a surrounding lower area of modest dwellings, indicating that the conceptual division between an elevated privileged zone and a more extensive lower settlement endured even where full citadel fortification was not replicated.

5. Street Network, Drainage, and Sanitation

The street network followed a clear hierarchy of main roads, secondary streets, and residential lanes, with graduated standardized widths corresponding to hierarchical position. At Dholavira, wide main roads linked the gateways of the citadel and lower town, facilitating both ceremonial processions and everyday movement, while at Lothal a principal north–south street connected the acropolis to the lower town. Secondary streets subdivided space into manageable blocks, and narrow lanes provided access to peripheral house clusters—all integrated into the drainage network, so that no residential unit, however modest, was excluded from basic civic amenities. The consistent maintenance of street widths across successive building phases implies enforced civic regulation. Rounded or bevelled corners at some junctions and controlled gateways in Dholavira's fortifications indicate attention to traffic movement and to regulating the flow of people and goods, possibly for security, taxation, or orderly trade.

Sanitation engineering was notably advanced. Following the broader Indus tradition, settlements incorporated underground drainage designed to remove wastewater and rainwater efficiently. At Lothal, burnt-brick drains ran beneath the streets, connecting to soak pits and larger channels; at Dholavira, stone-lined drains served a similar function while also channelling floodwater away from vulnerable areas during the monsoon. The consistent depth and gradient of these drains, sloping toward outlet points, demonstrates a working understanding of gravity flow. A distinguishing feature was the use of covered drains capped

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

with brick or stone slabs to prevent contamination and facilitate maintenance, with removable covers implying an ongoing civic responsibility for upkeep. Individual houses—including relatively modest dwellings—were equipped with bathing platforms and drains connecting to the street network, indicating that access to basic sanitation was treated as a standard expectation of urban residence rather than an elite privilege. At Dholavira, the integration of sanitary drainage with the water-storage infrastructure shows that engineers conceived of wastewater and rainwater management as complementary components of a single hydrological strategy.

6. Water Resource Management

Given Gujarat's semi-arid to arid climate and pronounced rainfall seasonality, settlements depended on a combination of seasonal rivers and streams, groundwater accessed through wells, and captured rainwater and floodwater stored in artificial reservoirs. At Dholavira, the seasonal Manhar and Mansar streams were dammed and diverted to supply an extensive reservoir system; at Lothal, proximity to the Sabarmati and Bhogavo rivers provided both irrigation and domestic supply. Wells—both household and community—constituted a critical component, with circular brick-lined wells constructed adjacent to residential blocks at Lothal; the radial, wedge-shaped bricks used for their linings represent a specialized construction technique distinct from standard rectangular bricks, marking well construction as a recognized craft.

The most remarkable expression of Harappan water management is found at Dholavira, where a series of sixteen interconnected stone-cut and stone-built reservoirs occupies a substantial proportion of the settlement's area. Some were cut directly into bedrock and others constructed with dressed stone walls, designed to capture rainwater and floodwater channelled from surrounding streams. Their combined storage capacity far exceeded immediate domestic needs, suggesting the system may also have supported agriculture or served as a visible symbol of the settlement's engineering capability. Several reservoirs flank the eastern and southern margins, forming a continuous belt of water storage that also functioned as an additional line of defence supplementing the fortification walls, while connecting channels allowed water to be transferred from a fuller reservoir to one running low—a form of internal redundancy. Water channels incorporating check dams and diversion structures captured monsoon runoff efficiently while preventing flood damage, and stepped embankments allowed access to water at varying levels as reservoirs emptied over the dry season. This rainwater-harvesting strategy anticipated by millennia many principles later employed in traditional Indian step-wells and village tanks, underscoring a continuity of water-harvesting philosophy in western India that long outlasted the civilization itself.

7. Land Use and Economic Zoning

Land use in Harappan Gujarat settlements was organized into functionally differentiated zones. Residential land was arranged into blocks of graduated house sizes reflecting social and

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

economic differentiation, with larger multi-room courtyard houses located closer to the citadel or acropolis and smaller dwellings in the outer lower town. Commercial activity concentrated in zones associated with warehousing and weighing: at Lothal, numerous sealings bearing impressions of packing materials near the warehouse, together with graduated series of standardized cubical weights, mark this precinct as a locus of regulated commercial exchange. Distinct industrial zones segregated craft production from residential areas—a concentration of bead-making debris at Lothal, and entire settlements at Nageshwar and Bagasra devoted to shell working. Kuntasi combined shell, stone-bead, and possibly faience working with residential and defensive functions inside a single small fortified enclosure, illustrating how the broader principles of zoning, defensibility, and functional integration were scaled down even at settlements far smaller than Dholavira or Lothal. Administrative land use was concentrated within elevated, fortified citadel and acropolis areas, whose physical prominence—often achieved through substantial platform foundations—was deliberately employed as a visual marker of authority.

8. Conclusion

The urban planning and settlement system of the Harappan Civilization in Gujarat represents a sophisticated regional adaptation of a shared civilizational grammar. Across ecological zones as different as the arid island of Kutch and the alluvial Bhal plain, the Harappans applied a consistent repertoire of planning principles—functional zoning, hierarchical spatial organization, standardized construction, integrated infrastructure, and defensibility—while modifying their expression to suit local materials and topography. Dholavira's tripartite division, dressed-stone architecture, and sixteen-reservoir water system, and Lothal's dockyard and warehouse complex, are the region's most striking innovations, but the same organizing logic reappears, scaled down, at Surkotada, Kuntasi, Rangpur, and Rojdi. Above all, the settlement system reveals an ecological intelligence embedded in design: an intimate, long-accumulated knowledge of tidal patterns, seasonal water availability, and overland routes that guided decisions at both the scale of the individual town and that of the wider region. This integrated, resource-oriented, and environmentally responsive tradition of planning marks Gujarat as a distinctive and instructive sub-region of the Harappan world—one whose achievements in water conservation and resilient urban form retain relevance for contemporary debates on sustainable planning in arid environments.

References

1. Ajithprasad, P., & Sonawane, V. H. (2011). The Harappa culture in North Gujarat: A regional paradigm. In T. Osada & A. Uesugi (Eds.), Occasional Paper 12: Linguistics, Archaeology and the Human Past. Kyoto: RIHN.
2. Bisht, R. S. (2015). Excavations at Dholavira (1989–90 to 2004–05). New Delhi: Archaeological Survey of India.

Kavya Setu
A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal
Impact Factor: 7.2 ISSN No: 3049-4176

3. Jadeja, et al. (2025). Archaeological investigations of the Harappan settlement at Gajod, Bhuj Taluka, Kachchh District, Gujarat. Heritage: Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies in Archaeology, 10 (2022–23), 609–626.
4. Possehl, G. L. (2002). The Indus Civilization: A Contemporary Perspective. Walnut Creek: AltaMira Press.
5. Sengupta, T., et al. (2019). Did the Harappan settlement of Dholavira (India) collapse during the onset of the Meghalayan stage drought? Journal of Quaternary Science.
6. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (2021). Dholavira: A Harappan City (Inscription dossier, WHC List No. 1645).
7. Wright, R. P. (2010). The Ancient Indus: Urbanism, Economy, and Society. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.