



Peasant Movements in Colonial Maharashtra: Causes, Leadership and Historical Significance

Jagdish Bapu Masule

Asst. Professor (History), A. Y. K. K.^S Arts Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Dhule (MS)

Abstract

Peasant movements constituted an important dimension of resistance to colonial rule in Maharashtra. The transformation of land-revenue systems, commercialization of agriculture, indebtedness, dependence on moneylenders, fluctuations in agricultural prices, recurrent famines, and the intervention of the colonial state profoundly affected rural society during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. These conditions generated different forms of agrarian protest, ranging from everyday resistance and local disturbances to organized political movements. The Deccan Riots of 1875 represented one of the most significant expressions of rural discontent in western India. During the twentieth century, peasant politics increasingly became connected with nationalist, socialist, and organized Kisan movements. The present paper examines the major causes, leadership patterns, development, and historical significance of peasant movements in colonial Maharashtra. The study adopts the historical research method and relies on colonial reports, historical studies, official records, books, and research literature. It argues that peasant resistance in Maharashtra cannot be explained exclusively as spontaneous economic protest. Agrarian movements developed through interaction among economic hardship, colonial revenue policy, rural social relations, political consciousness, and changing forms of leadership. The movements contributed to debates on agricultural credit, indebtedness, tenancy, land rights, and colonial economic policies. They also facilitated the political mobilization of rural communities and helped connect agrarian grievances with the broader anti-colonial struggle. Peasant movements therefore occupy a significant place in the social, economic, and political history of modern Maharashtra.

Keywords: Peasant Movements, Colonial Maharashtra, Deccan Riots, Agrarian History, British Rule, Moneylenders, Land Revenue, Kisan Movement, Rural Resistance

1. Introduction

Agriculture formed the economic foundation of rural Maharashtra during the colonial period. A large proportion of the population depended directly or indirectly upon cultivation, livestock, village industries, and other activities connected with agriculture. Consequently, changes introduced by British colonial administration had far-reaching implications for rural society. The establishment of British authority in western India brought significant changes in land-revenue administration, legal institutions, property relations, credit arrangements, agricultural markets, and the relationship between cultivators and the state. Under the Ryotwari system associated particularly with the Bombay Presidency, revenue settlements recognized individual



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

cultivators as revenue-paying occupants, but the state's revenue demands could place substantial pressure upon agricultural households, especially during periods of crop failure and falling prices. Commercialization added another dimension. Cultivators increasingly interacted with markets, traders, creditors, and price fluctuations. The American Civil War of 1861–1865 temporarily increased demand for Indian cotton, bringing a period of high cotton prices to parts of western India. When international conditions changed after the war and cotton prices fell, many cultivators faced serious problems in repaying accumulated debts. These tensions contributed to the Deccan Riots of 1875, which began in the Poona district and spread to parts of the Ahmednagar region. The disturbances became important enough for the colonial government to appoint the Deccan Riots Commission, whose 1878 report examined the causes and nature of agrarian discontent. The episode subsequently influenced the enactment of the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act of 1879. Peasant resistance, however, was not confined to 1875. During the twentieth century, agrarian grievances became increasingly connected with organized political movements, nationalism, socialist thought, and Kisan organizations. The history of peasant movements thus provides an important means of understanding the transformation of rural Maharashtra under colonial rule.

2. Need and Significance of the Study

1. Political histories of colonial India traditionally concentrated on constitutional reforms, prominent nationalist leaders, political organizations, and major anti-British campaigns. The development of social and subaltern history widened the field by emphasizing the experiences and political actions of peasants, tribal communities, workers, women, and other groups.
2. Peasant history is particularly important in Maharashtra because agriculture constituted a major source of livelihood. Colonial policies therefore affected not merely an economic sector but the social organization of a substantial part of the population.
3. The study of agrarian movements reveals how economic grievances could acquire political significance. It also explains how cultivators responded to indebtedness, revenue pressure, creditors, market instability, and changing legal institutions.
4. Moreover, peasant movements demonstrate that resistance to colonial rule was not confined to urban centres or formally educated political elites. Rural populations developed their own forms of collective action, which later interacted with organized nationalist and Kisan politics.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To study the agrarian conditions of Maharashtra during British colonial rule.
2. To identify the major economic and social causes of peasant movements in colonial Maharashtra.
3. To examine the nature and development of the Deccan Riots of 1875.
4. To analyse the nature of leadership in peasant movements.



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

5. To study the relationship between peasant movements and the Indian national movement.
6. To examine the responses of the colonial government to agrarian unrest.
7. To assess the historical significance of peasant movements in the social, economic, and political development of Maharashtra.

4. Research Questions

1. What economic and social conditions contributed to peasant unrest in colonial Maharashtra?
2. How did colonial land-revenue policies affect cultivators?
3. What were the major causes and characteristics of the Deccan Riots of 1875?
4. What forms of leadership emerged within agrarian movements?
5. How did peasant politics become associated with the broader nationalist movement?
6. What was the long-term historical significance of peasant resistance?

5. Research Methodology

The present study adopts the historical research method. It is qualitative, descriptive, analytical, and interpretative in nature. The study uses primary and secondary historical sources. Primary sources relevant to the subject include colonial revenue reports, proceedings of the Bombay Presidency, reports concerning agricultural conditions, census documents, the Report of the Deccan Riots Commission, contemporary newspapers, official correspondence, and legislative documents. Secondary sources include books and research articles dealing with agrarian history, peasant movements, colonial economic policies, nationalism, and the social history of Maharashtra. Historical criticism has been employed in interpreting colonial documents. Official reports are important sources but cannot automatically be treated as neutral accounts because they reflected administrative priorities and colonial categories. Accordingly, the study compares administrative interpretations with subsequent historical scholarship.

6. Review of Related Literature

Dhanagare's *Peasant Movements in India, 1920–1950* provides an important sociological and historical analysis of organized agrarian movements. He demonstrates that peasant mobilization was shaped by class relations, political organizations, local agrarian structures, and nationalist politics.

Sumit Sarkar's work on modern India examines agrarian unrest in relation to colonial economic transformation and nationalism. Bipan Chandra and other historians have similarly emphasized the economic consequences of colonialism and the increasing participation of peasants in anti-colonial politics.

Neil Charlesworth's research on peasant economy and agrarian change in western India is particularly important for understanding rural Maharashtra. His work draws attention to the complexity of agrarian transformation and cautions against explaining rural poverty through a single factor.



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

Ravinder Kumar's study of western India examines social change in Maharashtra and provides an important context for understanding the relationships among colonial institutions, social groups, economic transformation, and political change.

The Report of the Deccan Riots Commission remains a major primary source for studying the 1875 disturbances. Although written from the perspective of the colonial administration, it provides valuable evidence concerning debt, moneylending, land revenue, agricultural conditions, and the geographical spread of rural protest.

The existing literature therefore indicates that peasant movements should be interpreted through the combined analysis of revenue policy, credit, markets, class and caste relations, political mobilization, and colonial state power.

7. Historical Background of Agrarian Maharashtra

1. **Establishment of British Rule:** Following the defeat of the Peshwa in 1818, large areas of Maharashtra came under the political authority of the British East India Company. The new administration gradually introduced its own revenue, judicial, policing, and bureaucratic systems. For rural cultivators, one of the most important aspects of this transformation concerned land revenue. The colonial state sought regular and predictable revenue from agriculture and developed mechanisms for assessment and collection. The British believed that systematic settlement would create administrative order. In practice, however, revenue obligations interacted with uncertain rainfall, crop conditions, market prices, and household debt.
2. **Ryotwari Revenue System:** The Ryotwari system became particularly associated with the Bombay and Madras Presidencies. Under this arrangement, revenue assessments were made with cultivators rather than through permanently recognized zamindars of the type found in Bengal. In principle, the cultivator possessed recognized occupancy interests so long as revenue obligations were fulfilled. However, assessment levels and the rigidity of revenue collection could produce hardship during adverse agricultural conditions. The monetization of revenue obligations also meant that cultivators needed access to cash. When agricultural income was insufficient, borrowing became an important means of meeting revenue, cultivation, consumption, ceremonial, and emergency expenses.

8. Major Causes of Peasant Movements

1. **Heavy Land-Revenue Burden:** Land revenue represented one of the most direct connections between cultivators and colonial authority. Agriculture in much of the Deccan depended heavily upon rainfall. Drought or poor monsoons could sharply reduce production, while government demands did not always adjust rapidly enough to changing local conditions. The resulting tension between uncertain agricultural income and monetary obligations contributed to rural insecurity.
2. **Rural Indebtedness:** Indebtedness became one of the central issues in nineteenth-century agrarian Maharashtra. Cultivators borrowed money for cultivation, payment of land



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

revenue, household consumption, marriages, religious ceremonies, and survival during crop failures. Credit itself was indispensable to rural economic life; the problem arose when debts became difficult to repay and accumulated over long periods. Legal documentation and colonial courts also altered the relationship between creditor and debtor. Written bonds and court decrees could strengthen the creditor's ability to recover debts.

3. **Moneylenders and Credit Relations:** Moneylenders occupied an important position in the rural economy because institutional agricultural credit was limited. Peasant anger during the Deccan disturbances became directed particularly toward moneylenders and the documentary evidence of debt. This explains why attacks frequently involved seizure or destruction of bonds and account documents rather than indiscriminate physical violence. The conflict was therefore not simply between individuals. It reflected wider tensions generated by the intersection of credit, law, property, agriculture, and colonial administration.
4. **Commercialization of Agriculture:** The expansion of commercial agriculture connected cultivators more closely with regional and international markets. Cotton became especially important in western India. During the American Civil War, disruption of American cotton supplies created increased demand for Indian cotton. Prices rose, encouraging cultivation, trade, borrowing, and expectations of continued prosperity. The boom proved temporary. When American cotton returned to world markets after the Civil War, prices declined. Cultivators who had borrowed during favourable conditions could face severe repayment difficulties. Thus, global economic developments contributed indirectly to local agrarian conflict in the Deccan.
5. **Famines and Environmental Uncertainty:** Maharashtra experienced recurrent drought and famine during the nineteenth century. The Deccan's dependence upon monsoon rainfall made agricultural production vulnerable. Crop failure affected food availability, income, livestock, employment, and the ability to meet debt and revenue obligations. The severe famine of 1876–1878, occurring soon after the Deccan disturbances, further exposed the vulnerability of rural communities under colonial conditions. Environmental crises therefore intensified existing structural problems rather than operating independently of them.

9. The Deccan Riots of 1875

The Deccan Riots constitute one of the most important episodes in the agrarian history of colonial Maharashtra. The disturbances began in May 1875 at Supa in the Poona district and subsequently spread to other villages, particularly in Poona and Ahmednagar districts. The principal targets were moneylenders, especially those regarded by cultivators as exploitative creditors. A striking feature of the movement was the destruction or seizure of debt documents, bonds, account books, and decrees. Cultivators understood that documentary records formed the legal foundation through which debts could be enforced. Destroying such documents



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

therefore represented an attack upon the institutional mechanisms of indebtedness. The disturbances were largely collective and locally organized. Although incidents of violence occurred, the destruction of records and property was often more prominent than attacks upon human life. The colonial government eventually suppressed the disturbances and investigated their causes.

10. Nature of Leadership in the Deccan Riots

Unlike later organized political movements, the Deccan Riots did not possess a centralized leadership structure or a single prominent leader. Leadership was predominantly local. Village cultivators, influential peasants, community figures, and informal rural networks facilitated collective action. This characteristic is historically significant because it demonstrates that organized resistance does not necessarily require formal political organizations. Local communities could identify common grievances, communicate with neighbouring villages, and collectively target the institutions they associated with economic exploitation. The Deccan Riots were therefore characterized more by collective rural leadership than by individual charismatic leadership.

11. Colonial Response

The scale of the disturbances forced the colonial administration to investigate agrarian conditions. The Government appointed the Deccan Riots Commission, whose report was published in 1878. The Commission examined revenue assessment, agricultural prices, indebtedness, moneylending, and relations between cultivators and creditors. The colonial state subsequently enacted the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act of 1879. The legislation sought to provide certain protections to agriculturists in debt-related litigation and reflected official recognition that unrestricted application of ordinary debt law had generated serious agrarian difficulties. The Act did not eliminate rural indebtedness, but its enactment demonstrates the policy impact of peasant unrest.

12. Peasant Politics in the Twentieth Century

The character of agrarian protest gradually changed during the twentieth century. Expansion of political organizations, nationalist campaigns, newspapers, improved communication, and ideological developments connected local grievances with broader political movements. The participation of peasants in the Non-Cooperation Movement, Civil Disobedience Movement, and other anti-colonial campaigns contributed to the politicization of rural society. Questions of taxation, forest restrictions, land revenue, indebtedness, tenancy, and agricultural prices increasingly intersected with demands for Swaraj.

13. Emergence of Organized Kisan Movements

By the 1920s and 1930s, peasant politics in India increasingly assumed organized forms. Kisan Sabhas and agrarian organizations attempted to articulate cultivators' demands in a systematic manner. The establishment of the All-India Kisan Sabha in 1936 provided a wider platform for peasant politics. Socialist and communist activists subsequently became important participants



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

in agrarian organization in several regions. In Maharashtra, peasant mobilization increasingly addressed issues such as land rights, tenancy, agricultural labour, indebtedness, revenue demands, and the power of landlords or other dominant agrarian interests where these existed. This phase differed from the Deccan Riots because political ideology, formal organization, meetings, conferences, petitions, and structured leadership became increasingly important.

14. Leadership and Political Consciousness

Peasant movements in colonial Maharashtra reveal a transition in patterns of leadership. During the nineteenth century, movements such as the Deccan Riots largely depended upon local and community leadership. In the twentieth century, political activists, nationalist leaders, socialist organizers, and Kisan workers increasingly participated in rural mobilization. Leaders and organizations helped translate specific economic grievances into broader political arguments concerning colonial exploitation. At the same time, peasants were not simply passive followers of outside leaders. Rural participants interpreted political campaigns according to their own concerns and local experiences. Thus, leadership in agrarian politics involved interaction between local initiative and broader political organization.

15. Relationship with the National Movement

Peasant participation greatly expanded the social base of Indian nationalism. The nationalist movement provided a political language through which taxation, economic hardship, colonial authority, and local grievances could be connected to the demand for independence. Gandhian movements were especially important in bringing sections of rural society into mass political action through methods such as non-cooperation, boycott, civil disobedience, and non-payment campaigns under specific circumstances. However, peasant interests and nationalist priorities did not always coincide perfectly. Agrarian communities frequently had immediate economic demands that differed according to region and class. The relationship between peasant politics and nationalism should therefore be understood as dynamic rather than automatic.

16. Historical Significance of Peasant Movements

Peasant movements in colonial Maharashtra had several important historical consequences. First, they exposed structural weaknesses in the colonial agrarian economy. Revenue pressure, dependence upon informal credit, commercialization, market instability, and inadequate protection during agricultural crises created conditions of rural insecurity. Second, the Deccan Riots demonstrated the capacity of rural communities for collective resistance. Their attack upon debt documents showed an understanding of the legal and institutional foundations of creditor power. Third, agrarian unrest influenced government policy. The investigation by the Deccan Riots Commission and the enactment of the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act demonstrate that organized rural discontent could compel the colonial administration to reconsider aspects of its policies. Fourth, peasant movements contributed to the growth of political consciousness. During the twentieth century, local agrarian grievances increasingly interacted with nationalist and socialist political ideas. Fifth, organized Kisan movements



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

created platforms through which rural issues could enter provincial and national political debate. Finally, these movements contributed to the longer historical development of debates concerning land reform, agricultural credit, tenancy protection, rural inequality, and the responsibilities of the state toward cultivators.

17. Major Findings

1. The study finds that peasant movements in colonial Maharashtra arose from a combination of economic, political, environmental, and social factors rather than from a single cause.
2. The colonial revenue system created monetary obligations that could become particularly burdensome during periods of poor agricultural production. Rural indebtedness developed through the interaction of cultivation expenses, consumption requirements, social expenditure, revenue obligations, and limited institutional credit.
3. The commercialization of agriculture connected Maharashtra's cultivators with wider market forces. The cotton boom associated with the American Civil War and the subsequent decline in prices demonstrated the vulnerability of cultivators to international economic fluctuations.
4. The Deccan Riots of 1875 represented a distinctive form of agrarian protest. The deliberate destruction of debt documents reveals that peasants identified legal and documentary instruments as important sources of creditor power.
5. The movement lacked centralized leadership but nevertheless displayed substantial collective organization at the local level.
6. The colonial government's investigation and subsequent Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act of 1879 indicate that agrarian resistance influenced administrative policy, even though the legislation did not eliminate the structural causes of indebtedness.
7. During the twentieth century, peasant mobilization became increasingly organized and politically articulated. Kisan organizations, nationalist politics, and socialist ideas widened the scope of agrarian protest.
8. Finally, the study finds that peasants were historical actors rather than merely victims of colonial economic policy. Through protest, negotiation, organization, and participation in wider political movements, rural communities contributed to the political transformation of Maharashtra.

18. Discussion

The history of peasant movements challenges interpretations of colonial politics that focus exclusively on educated urban elites. The Deccan Riots demonstrate that nineteenth-century cultivators possessed the capacity to recognize shared grievances and organize collective action without centralized political leadership. Their targeting of debt records indicates a practical understanding of the relationship among documentation, courts, credit, and economic power. At the same time, peasant resistance cannot be reduced to a simple conflict between "peasants" and "moneylenders." Rural Maharashtra contained differences of caste, landholding, wealth,



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

occupation, and access to resources. Moneylenders themselves performed an important economic function because alternative sources of rural credit were limited. The twentieth century introduced another transformation. Rural grievances increasingly entered organized political discourse. Nationalist and Kisan organizations created wider networks, but peasants continued to respond according to local conditions. Therefore, peasant movements should be interpreted simultaneously as economic resistance, social mobilization, and political participation.

19. Conclusion

Peasant movements formed a significant component of the history of colonial Maharashtra. British rule transformed agrarian administration, revenue collection, legal institutions, credit relations, and connections between agriculture and markets. These changes created opportunities for commercial expansion but also intensified the vulnerability of many cultivators. The Deccan Riots of 1875 represented one of the clearest expressions of this rural discontent. Heavy economic obligations, indebtedness, falling agricultural prices, and conflict with creditors generated collective action in Poona and Ahmednagar districts. The destruction of bonds and account books demonstrated that peasants targeted not only individuals but also the documentary foundations of debt enforcement.

The government's response, including the Deccan Riots Commission and the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act of 1879, indicates the historical impact of agrarian protest. During the twentieth century, the nature of peasant politics changed substantially. Local economic grievances increasingly became connected with nationalism, socialist thought, and organized Kisan movements. Rural communities consequently became important participants in the wider struggle against colonial rule.

The historical significance of these movements extends beyond their immediate achievements. They brought questions of rural debt, agricultural credit, taxation, land rights, tenancy, and economic justice into public and political debate. They also demonstrated that peasants were active participants in historical change. The history of colonial Maharashtra, therefore, cannot be adequately understood without recognizing the contribution of its rural population. From the locally organized resistance of the Deccan Riots to the politically structured Kisan movements of the twentieth century, peasant mobilization constituted an important force in Maharashtra's transition from colonial subordination to democratic political participation.

References

1. Bandyopadhyay, S. (2004). *From Plassey to partition: A history of modern India*. New Delhi: Orient Longman.
2. Chandra, B. (1966). *The rise and growth of economic nationalism in India*. New Delhi: People's Publishing House.
3. Chandra, B., Mukherjee, M., Mukherjee, A., Panikkar, K. N., & Mahajan, S. (1989). *India's struggle for independence*. New Delhi: Penguin Books.



Kavya Setu

A Multidisciplinary Open Access, Peer-Reviewed Refereed Journal

Impact Factor: 7.2

ISSN No: 3049-4176

4. Charlesworth, N. (1985). Peasants and imperial rule: Agriculture and agrarian society in the Bombay Presidency, 1850–1935. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
5. Dhanagare, D. N. (1983). Peasant movements in India, 1920–1950. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
6. Government of India. (1878). Report of the Commission appointed to inquire into the causes of the riots which took place in the year 1875 in the Poona and Ahmednagar districts of the Bombay Presidency. Calcutta: Government of India.
7. Guha, R. (1983). Elementary aspects of peasant insurgency in colonial India. Delhi: Oxford University Press.