Relationship between Collection Development Theory and User-Centered Library Services

Madan Pal

Assistant Professor Library

S.S.S.S. (P.G.) College, Rasna (Meerut)

E-mail: madanpal411@gmail.com

Abstract

This research paper explores the dynamic relationship between collection development theory and user-centered library services, highlighting how contemporary librarianship increasingly prioritizes user needs, preferences, and behaviours. Traditionally, collection development focused on selecting and maintaining resources based on professional expertise and institutional goals. However, with rapid digital transformation, diverse user populations, and evolving information-seeking practices, libraries have shifted toward participatory, data-driven, and user-centered collection strategies. The research paper examines the core principles of collection development-including selection, evaluation, weeding, acquisition, and preservation-and explains how these principles intersect with user-centered approaches such as needs assessment, personalization, accessibility, and feedback integration.

The research paper also explores the influence of digital technologies on both collection planning and user services, including hybrid collection models, user analytics, discovery tools, open access resources, and digital preservation frameworks. These innovations enhance user engagement by promoting seamless access, customized services, and real-time resource availability. Challenges such as budget constraints, licensing restrictions, diverse user expectations, rapid technological changes, and information overload are also addressed. The research paper concludes that integrating user-centered philosophies into collection development strengthens library relevance, enhances user satisfaction, and supports equitable access to information. It emphasizes the need for continuous assessment, collaborative planning, and evidence-based strategies to maintain responsive, inclusive, and future-ready collections in academic and public libraries.

Keywords: Collection Development, User-Centered Services, Library Management, User Needs Assessment, Digital Libraries, Open Access, Discovery Tools, Collection Evaluation, Information Behaviour, Inclusive Collections.

Introduction

Collection development has long been regarded as a central pillar of academic and public librarianship, guiding the systematic selection, acquisition, evaluation, and maintenance of library resources. Historically, collection development was primarily librarian-driven, shaped by professional judgment, bibliographic expertise, and institutional goals. However, in modern contexts, the rapid expansion of information sources, diversification of user

populations, and rise of digital technologies have reshaped the ways libraries conceptualize and manage their collections. As Johnson explains, collection development now requires a dynamic, responsive approach grounded in user expectations, curricular demands, and emerging research trends.[i]

The emergence of user-centered philosophy in librarianship has significantly influenced this transformation. Modern librarianship emphasizes active engagement with users, recognizing that libraries exist not only to store knowledge but to enable meaningful access, personalized services, and participatory decision-making. User-centered theories draw heavily from information behaviour studies, UX (User Experience) principles, and Ranganathan's seminal laws-particularly "Books are for use" and "Save the time of the reader." These principles reinforce the idea that library collections should be built around the needs, behaviours, and preferences of actual users rather than assumptions. According to Baker, user-centered librarianship shifts the power dynamics of collection development from expert-driven decisions to collaborative, evidence-based practices that prioritize user satisfaction and equitable access.[ii]

The importance of aligning collections with user needs and preferences cannot be overstated in contemporary library environments. Libraries today serve diverse populations-students, researchers, professionals, children, and community members-each with unique informational preferences and learning styles. Failure to align collections with these needs risks diminishing library relevance, reducing resource usage, and weakening institutional impact. As Evans notes, collection development must be both anticipatory and responsive, ensuring that materials are current, authoritative, and reflective of user demand.[iii] This alignment becomes even more critical in digital contexts, where users expect instant access, personalized recommendations, and seamless integration of print and electronic resources. In summary, the introduction of user-centered philosophy into collection development marks a transformative shift in librarianship. Aligning collections with user needs ensures that libraries remain vibrant, responsive, and indispensable spaces in academic and community life.

Conceptual Framework

- **Definition of Collection Development Theory**

Collection Development Theory refers to the systematic and strategic process of selecting, acquiring, evaluating, maintaining, and preserving library resources to support the informational, educational, and recreational needs of users. According to Evans, collection development incorporates a continuous cycle of decision-making that includes assessing user needs, identifying authoritative sources, acquiring materials, evaluating usage, and removing outdated or irrelevant items. The core principles-selection, acquisition, evaluation, weeding, and preservation-form the foundation of this theory. Selection ensures that resources are relevant and authoritative; acquisition ensures timely availability; evaluation helps determine whether materials meet evolving needs; weeding eliminates outdated content; and preservation

ensures long-term access. Historically, collection development evolved from a librarian-centered model, where professionals relied primarily on bibliographic expertise, to a data-driven and participatory model shaped by user needs and technological change. As Johnson notes, digital landscapes and diverse user demographics have transformed collection development into a more flexible, responsive, and user-informed process.[iv]

- **Definition of User-Centered Library Services**

User-centered library services emphasize designing and delivering services based on a thorough understanding of user needs, behaviours, expectations, and preferences. This model prioritizes user needs assessment, which includes conducting surveys, focus groups, interviews, ethnographic studies, and usage analytics to understand how users search, access, and interact with information. Baker explains that user-centered libraries aim to provide personalized, accessible, and participatory services that accommodate diverse learning preferences and remove physical, digital, or cognitive barriers.[v] Such services prioritize accessibility for differently-abled users, personalized support such as curated reading lists, and participatory initiatives that involve users directly in collection decisions. User-centered philosophy shifts librarianship from a transactional model to an experience-driven, relationship-based model.

- **Theoretical Linkages**

There is a strong theoretical relationship between collection development and user-centered services. User-centered theories-including Ranganathan's Five Laws of Library Science and Information Behaviour theories-emphasize that libraries exist to serve users and must adapt to meet their changing needs. Ranganathan's principles such as "Books are for use" and "Every reader his book" reinforce the importance of user-responsive collections. Collection development models proposed by theorists such as Evans and Johnson highlight the need for continuous evaluation, evidence-based decision-making, and alignment with institutional objectives. According to Thomas, the interdependence between collection planning and user experience is central to modern librarianship; well-developed collections improve user satisfaction, while user feedback enhances collection quality.[vi] Together, these theoretical frameworks demonstrate that effective collection development must be grounded in a deep understanding of users, making user-centered approaches essential for contemporary library management.

Importance of Collection Development in Supporting User-Centered Services

Collection development plays a foundational role in ensuring that libraries remain responsive, relevant, and user-centered. The first key aspect is ensuring relevance and currency of materials. Modern users expect up-to-date, authoritative, and diverse information resources. According to Johnson (Fundamentals of Collection Development and Management, ALA Editions, Chicago, 2018, p. 45), continuous collection evaluation and strategic acquisitions

help maintain academic rigor and ensure that the collection aligns with current research trends, curricular changes, and societal developments. In user-centered environments, relevance is determined not by librarian assumptions but through evidence-based understanding of user needs and preferences. Another important dimension is enhancing user satisfaction and engagement. User-centered libraries prioritize convenience, accessibility, and seamless information experiences. The availability of relevant materials-both in print and digital formats-directly impacts how users perceive library effectiveness. Baker argues that collections that reflect user interests and learning styles foster deeper engagement, increased usage, and stronger connections between users and library spaces.[vii]

Collection development also plays a crucial role in supporting learning, research, and community needs. Academic libraries must align their collections with course syllabi, research priorities, and departmental requirements. Public libraries, on the other hand, must support community literacy, career development, and recreational reading. Evans notes that collection development acts as an academic backbone by providing resources that directly enhance learning outcomes and research productivity.[viii] Another core component is promoting diversity and inclusivity in collections. Inclusive collections ensure representation of different cultures, languages, genders, and perspectives. Mehta emphasizes that equitable access requires libraries to acquire materials for marginalized groups, differently-abled users, and underserved communities.[ix] Finally, collection development supports digital literacy and lifelong learning. As users increasingly rely on digital tools, libraries must provide digital resources-e-books, databases, open educational resources-and teach users how to navigate them effectively. This fosters lifelong learning competencies and bridges digital divides.

Relationship between Collection Development Theory and User Needs

- **User Needs Assessment and Analysis**

Understanding user needs is fundamental for aligning collection development theory with actual library use. Needs assessment involves surveys, focus groups, community studies, and usage analytics, which help librarians understand what users expect from the collection. According to Powell, data-driven needs assessment ensures that selection decisions reflect real demands rather than assumptions.[x] Usage analytics reveal patterns in borrowing, database access, and digital resource downloads, enabling librarians to identify high-demand subjects and service gaps.

- **Impact of User Preferences on Selection Policies**

User-centered collection development acknowledges that user preferences directly shape selection policies. Preferences may vary by format-such as the preference of digital-native students for e-books and online journals-while some users still rely heavily on print materials. Baker explains that generational differences, learning styles, and accessibility needs influence format preferences.[xi] Language preferences and subject depth are also crucial,

especially in multilingual or multicultural communities. Public libraries must consider recreational reading levels, while academic libraries must ensure specialized research depth.

- **Personalized Resource Provision**

User-centered approaches in collection development emphasize tailored resource provision, ensuring that library materials closely align with the specific needs of individuals, academic programs, and course requirements. Modern libraries increasingly curate customized reading lists, develop course-specific reserves, and undertake targeted acquisitions based on faculty input, curriculum mapping, and direct student requests. These practices ensure that resources are relevant, timely, and supportive of both teaching and learning. As Thomas notes, personalization significantly enhances user satisfaction by reducing search time and providing direct access to materials that meet immediate academic or research needs. This targeted support contributes to more effective classroom engagement, smoother assignment completion, and a more efficient research process overall[xii].

In addition to customized services, libraries are adopting user-driven collection models such as demand-driven acquisition (DDA) and evidence-based acquisition (EBA). Through these models, users indirectly shape the collection by triggering purchases or influencing subscription decisions through their interactions with digital resources. DDA allows libraries to purchase materials only after demonstrated user interest, while EBA aligns acquisitions with usage data gathered over a set period. These approaches ensure that collection development remains responsive, cost-effective, and closely connected to actual user priorities. Together, personalization and user-driven acquisition models reinforce a dynamic, participatory, and user-centered library environment.

- **Role of Feedback in Collection Development**

Feedback plays a vital role in the continuous improvement cycle of collection development, positioning users as active contributors to the shaping of library resources. User inputs—such as suggestions, complaints, requests for new materials, satisfaction ratings, and survey responses—provide valuable insights into emerging needs, gaps in the collection, and areas requiring enhancement. By systematically collecting and analyzing this feedback, libraries can make informed decisions about future acquisitions, subscription renewals, and weeding activities. As Johnson highlights, integrating user feedback into collection planning ensures an evidence-based approach that aligns resources with actual user expectations and academic priorities.

Moreover, feedback strengthens the relationship between users and the library by fostering transparency, trust, and collaboration. When users see their recommendations reflected in new acquisitions or service improvements, they are more likely to view the library as a responsive and supportive partner in their academic journey. This process effectively closes the loop between theory and practice, transforming collection development from a static administrative

task into a dynamic, participatory, and user-centered function. By embedding feedback mechanisms into regular workflows, libraries can continuously adapt to changing information landscapes and evolving user behaviors, ensuring that collections remain relevant, inclusive, and strategically aligned with institutional goals.

Role of User-Centered Approaches in Shaping Collection Development Policies

User-centered approaches significantly reshape how collection development policies are conceived, documented, and implemented. Policy formulation must be influenced by demographics and institutional goals, ensuring alignment with the population served. For academic libraries, policies must reflect departmental needs, accreditation standards, and research priorities. For public libraries, policies must address literacy, cultural diversity, and community development. Evans states that a well-structured policy guides equitable resource distribution and prevents bias in selection.[xiii]

Participatory collection development, including user suggestions and collaborative selection, strengthens democratic access to information. Librarians may involve faculty in textbook and reserve decisions, invite community input for public library acquisitions, or implement user-driven purchasing programs. Baker observes that participatory approaches increase transparency and accountability while ensuring that policy decisions reflect user experiences.[xiv] Balancing academic needs, recreational needs, and community needs is another essential policy requirement. Academic libraries must support both research-level materials and general education resources, whereas public libraries must equally prioritize educational support and leisure reading. Policies must articulate clear guidelines for balancing such competing demands.

Ethical considerations and intellectual freedom also shape user-centered policies. Libraries must ensure unbiased collections that represent diverse viewpoints and protect users' rights to access controversial or sensitive materials. Thomas stresses that user-centered ethics require resisting censorship, supporting privacy, and promoting equitable access.[xv] Finally, user-centered policies prioritize accessibility and inclusivity, ensuring materials are available in multiple formats and supportive of differently-abled users. This includes Braille books, audiobooks, large-print materials, and accessible digital platforms.

Impact of Digital Technologies on Collection Development and User Services

- **Shift from Print-Centric to Hybrid and Digital Collections**

Digital technologies have fundamentally transformed libraries from primarily print-centric repositories into dynamic hybrid and increasingly digital knowledge environments. This evolution has broadened access to a wide spectrum of formats, including e-books, e-journals, online databases, multimedia collections, MOOCs, and interactive learning platforms, enabling users to engage with information beyond traditional boundaries. As Johnson notes, contemporary collection development strategies now prioritize flexibility, real-time availability, and cost-effectiveness, leading libraries to adopt mixed acquisition models that

strategically balance print and digital resources. These digital collections provide uninterrupted 24/7 access, support distance and remote learning, and accommodate the diverse information-seeking behaviors of modern users, making them central to user-centered library services.

Moreover, the rapid shift toward digital content has reshaped core library workflows such as weeding, preservation, cataloguing, and acquisitions. Librarians must now evaluate licensing agreements, access rights, subscription models, and vendor-controlled platforms while also ensuring long-term digital preservation through strategies like metadata enrichment, format migration, and secure storage. This technological transformation requires continuous upskilling and adaptability among library professionals. Overall, digital technologies have redefined what libraries can offer, positioning them as accessible, flexible, and future-ready information hubs that respond effectively to the evolving needs of academic and research communities.

- **Influence of User Analytics and Data Science**

Digital technologies have empowered libraries to incorporate user analytics and data science into strategic collection development, allowing decision-making to be grounded in measurable evidence rather than intuition. With the increasing availability of digital platforms and integrated library systems, libraries can now track detailed usage metrics such as download counts, circulation statistics, search logs, access frequency, and cost-per-use data. These insights reveal real patterns of user behavior and help librarians understand which resources are valued and which remain underutilized. As Bensman emphasizes, data-driven assessment ensures that limited collection budgets are used efficiently and directed toward high-demand subjects, formats, and disciplines that reflect current academic and research priorities.

Cost-per-use analysis, in particular, has become essential for evaluating the value of subscription-based resources. By comparing subscription prices with actual usage levels, libraries can identify resources that are overpriced, redundant, or no longer relevant. Such analysis strengthens negotiations with vendors and supports evidence-based decisions regarding renewals, cancellations, and alternative access models. Ultimately, the integration of analytics transforms collection development into a more objective, transparent, and user-responsive process. It ensures that library collections remain dynamic, relevant, and aligned with the evolving needs of the user community, thereby enhancing overall service quality and institutional impact.

- **Open Access Resources and User-Centric Access Models**

The rise of Open Access (OA) resources has revolutionized user-centered access by removing paywalls and expanding global reach to scholarly content. OA journals, repositories, OERs, and government open data portals supplement library collections without increasing financial burden. Suber asserts that OA enhances user equity by providing freely accessible, peer-reviewed research that supports learning and innovation.[xvi] Libraries now integrate OA

resources into discovery systems, develop institutional repositories, and educate users about OA publishing. OA promotes a user-centered model by enabling unrestricted, long-term access to knowledge.

- **Discovery Tools and Personalized Services**

Digital technologies have significantly reshaped user services in libraries by introducing advanced discovery tools and personalized service models that simplify and enrich the research experience. Modern discovery systems such as Primo, VuFind, and EBSCO Discovery Service integrate multiple catalogues, databases, repositories, and electronic resources into a single unified search interface. This allows users to locate books, articles, multimedia, and digital collections without navigating multiple platforms. As Thomas notes, discovery layers improve user satisfaction by reducing the complexity of searching, streamlining navigation, and increasing the precision and relevance of retrieved results. By consolidating access and offering intuitive interfaces, these tools make information retrieval faster, more efficient, and more accessible to diverse user groups.

In addition to discovery systems, personalization features driven by artificial intelligence have further transformed user engagement. AI-based recommendation systems analyze search patterns, reading histories, subject interests, and academic profiles to provide tailored resource suggestions. These personalized pathways support deeper research, help users discover relevant content they might have missed, and create a more engaging and interactive digital learning environment. Together, discovery layers and personalized tools reflect a shift toward user-centered service models, where the focus is on convenience, relevance, and enhancing the overall research journey through technology-enabled solutions.

Digital Preservation and Long-Term Access for Users

Digital technologies have significantly elevated the importance of digital preservation within contemporary collection development, making it an essential responsibility for libraries as they increasingly acquire and manage digital resources. As collections shift from physical to electronic formats, ensuring the long-term accessibility, authenticity, and usability of digital materials has become a central concern. This has led libraries to implement robust digital preservation frameworks and tools such as LOCKSS and CLOCKSS, which provide distributed, redundant storage to protect content from loss, as well as Archivematica, which supports automated workflows for ingest, preservation, and migration of digital files. Conway emphasizes that digital preservation is vital for safeguarding materials against risks such as data corruption, bit rot, file-format obsolescence, broken links, and broader technological decay that can render digital content inaccessible over time.

In this context, digital preservation is not merely a technical process but a core user-centered obligation. Libraries must ensure that digital scholarly resources remain available to future generations of researchers, students, and the wider academic community. Protecting the continuity of the scholarly record aligns with the fundamental mission of libraries as long-term

stewards of knowledge. Through proactive planning, strategic infrastructure investments, and adherence to international preservation standards, libraries help secure the longevity and integrity of digital heritage in an era of rapid technological change.

Challenges in Aligning Collection Development Theory with User-Centered Services

Libraries face multiple challenges in aligning traditional collection development theories with contemporary user-centered expectations. One major concern is budget limitations, especially in academic libraries where subscription costs for e-resources and databases rise annually. Baker notes that constrained budgets hinder the ability to maintain balanced collections, particularly when user demands shift rapidly or when interdisciplinary programs require new resources.[xvii] Libraries also struggle to meet diverse user needs and expectations. User groups differ by age, academic level, digital proficiency, and cultural background. Mehta argues that one-size-fits-all collections are no longer sufficient; personalized and inclusive materials are essential but difficult to implement uniformly.[xviii]

Rapid technological changes pose another challenge. New platforms, metadata standards, and digital tools require ongoing staff training and system upgrades. Thomas notes that libraries risk obsolescence if they cannot adapt quickly to technological advances.[xix] Licensing and copyright restrictions complicate user access. E-resources often restrict downloading, printing, interlibrary loan, and multi-user access, which contradicts user-centered philosophies of openness and convenience. Additionally, information overload and quality assessment issues arise due to abundance of digital content. Users struggle to identify reliable sources, and librarians must guide them through complex information landscapes. Finally, libraries must balance scholarly and recreational demands. Academic libraries prioritize research materials, while public libraries emphasize community reading interests. Aligning both within limited budgets creates ongoing tension.

Conclusion

The relationship between collection development theory and user-centered library services highlights a critical transformation in modern librarianship, where user needs, behaviours, and expectations now guide every aspect of library decision-making. As demonstrated throughout this research paper, digital technologies, evolving user demographics, and shifting academic landscapes have significantly influenced how libraries build, manage, and evaluate their collections. Traditional collection development models-once grounded primarily in professional judgment and bibliographic expertise-have expanded to incorporate data analytics, participatory practices, and personalized resource provision, ensuring that collections remain relevant, diverse, and aligned with user-specific requirements. The impact of digital technologies has further strengthened user-centered approaches by enabling hybrid collections, personalized discovery systems, open access integration, and comprehensive analytics-driven decision-making. These technological shifts have empowered libraries to provide seamless, equitable, and user-friendly access to both print and digital materials,

supporting lifelong learning and academic success. At the same time, libraries must address emerging challenges-including budget constraints, technological obsolescence, licensing barriers, and diverse user expectations-to sustain a responsive and inclusive collection ecosystem.

The integration of user-centered philosophies into collection development strengthens the library's mission by ensuring that collections are reflective of real needs, participatory in nature, and equitable in access. When libraries actively engage users through feedback mechanisms, collaborative planning, and responsive acquisition models, they transform users from passive recipients into partners in shaping the knowledge ecosystem. Evidence-based strategies supported by analytics, along with digital tools such as discovery layers, personalized services, and demand-driven acquisition models, enable libraries to build collections that are both relevant and adaptable. These approaches ensure that resources evolve alongside academic programs, research trends, and technological advancements.

By merging user-centered theory with practical implementation, libraries cultivate collections that are dynamic, inclusive, and future-ready. This synergy reinforces the library's identity as a vibrant knowledge institution committed to supporting diverse learning styles, disciplinary needs, and community expectations. Ultimately, user-centered collection development allows libraries to remain agile amid continuous change while upholding their foundational values—universal access, lifelong learning, intellectual freedom, and the preservation of knowledge for Future Generations.

References

[i]. Johnson, P., Fundamentals of Collection Development and Management, ALA Editions, Chicago, 2018, p. 12.

[ii]. Baker, S., User-Centered Library Services, Springer, New York, 2019, p. 27.

[iii]. Evans, G.E, Developing Library and Information Center Collections, Libraries Unlimited, Colorado, 2015, p. 33.

[iv]. Johnson, P., Fundamentals of Collection Development and Management, ALA Editions, Chicago, 2018, p. 21.

[v]. Baker, S., User-Centered Library Services, Springer, New York, 2019, p. 34.

[vi]. Thomas, M., Library Service Models, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2020, p. 42.

[vii]. Baker, S., User-Centered Library Services, Springer, New York, 2019, p. 51.

[viii]. Evans, G.E, Developing Library and Information Center Collections, Libraries Unlimited, Colorado, 2015, p. 57.

[ix]. Mehta, R., Inclusive Information Services, Oxford University Press, Chennai, 2019, p. 50.

[x]. Powell, R., User Studies in Libraries, Springer, New York, 2015, p. 29.

[xi]. Baker, S., Information Behaviour in Digital Spaces, Springer, New York, 2018, p. 66.

[xii]. Thomas, M., Library Service Models, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2020, p. 48.

xiii. Evans, G.E., Developing Library and Information Center Collections, Libraries Unlimited, Colorado, 2015, p. 84.

xiv. Baker, S., User-Centered Library Services, Springer, New York, 2019, p. 76.

xv. Thomas, M., Ethics in Library Collection Management, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019, p. 39.

xvi. Suber, P., Open Access, MIT Press, Cambridge, 2012, p. 29.

xvii. Baker, S., Electronic Resource Economics, Springer, New York, 2019, p. 42.

xviii. Mehta, R., Inclusive Information Services, Oxford University Press, Chennai, 2019, p. 54.

xix. Thomas, M., Technology in Modern Librarianship, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2021, p. 72.